Characteristics of Programs of Information Literacy that Illustrate Best Practice

Information Literacy in the Washington Community and Technical Colleges -LSTA Grant

The ACRL Best Practices Survey was previously conducted in summer 2006. At that time, you were asked to measure where your institution was in terms of incorporating information literacy practices when the grant was first awarded and at the midway point. We are administering the survey one final time now. Ideally, the results of the surveys will show an arc of progress in achieving Best Practices at your institution. However, we strongly encourage you to answer each survey question honestly, even if you do not feel this reflects positively on the achievement of Information Literacy goals. Answer each question in the context of your library's practices today.

Go the IL Wiki to see the answers you submitted previously.....

This is being used as a self-evaluation of our progress throughout this grant process. This does not assume all colleges are doing all of these things at the "Program Strength" level or that all of these activities are equally important to us.

Please complete by May 9th, 2008.

If you have any questions or problems, please contact Lynn Olson at 253-964-6366 or lolson@pierce.ctc.edu

College Name

Clark College

Name of Librarian Entering Data

Kitty Mackey

Category 1: Mission A mission statement for an information literacy program:

	No	Under Discussion	In Planning	Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Includes a definition of information literacy 					✓
 Is consistent with ACRL's "Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education" 					v
 Corresponds with the mission statement of the institution 					
•Clearly reflects the contributions of and expected benefits to all institutional constituencies					v
 Appears in appropriate institutional documents 					~
•Assumes the availability of and participation in relevant lifelong learning options for all - faculty, staff, and administration					~
 Is reviewed periodically and, if necessary, revised 					✓

New or

New or

Category 2: Goals and Objectives Goals and objectives for an information literacy program:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Are consistent with the mission, goals, and objectives of programs, departments, and the institution 					v
•Establish measurable outcomes for evaluation for the program				\checkmark	
 Reflect sound pedagogical practice 				\checkmark	
 Accommodate input from various constituencies 					
 Articulate the integration of information literacy across the curriculum 					v
 Accommodate student growth in skills and understanding throughout the college years 				v	
•Apply to all learners, regardless of delivery system or location;					
 Reflect the desired outcomes of preparing students for their academic pursuits and for effective lifelong learning; and 					v
Are evaluated and reviewed periodically.					~

Category 3: Planning Planning for an information literacy program:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Articulates its mission, goals, objectives, and pedagogical foundation; 				\checkmark	
 Anticipates and addresses current and future opportunities and challenges; 					v
 Is tied to library and institutional information technology planning and budgeting cycles 				v	
 Incorporates findings from environmental scans; 		\checkmark			
 Accommodates program, department, and institutional levels; 				\checkmark	
\bullet Involves students, faculty, librarians, administrators, and other constituencies as appropriate to the institution			v		
 Establishes formal and informal mechanisms for communication and ongoing dialogue across the academic community; 				v	
 Establishes the means for implementation and adaptation; 				~	
 Addresses, with clear priorities, human, technological and financial resources, current and projected, including administrative and institutional support; 	v				
 Includes mechanisms for articulation with the curriculum; 		\checkmark			
 Includes a program for professional, faculty, and staff development; and 					v
•Establishes a process for assessment at the outset, including periodic review of the plan to ensure flexibility.		V			

New or

Category 4: Administrative and Institutional Support Administration within an institution:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Identifies or assigns information literacy leadership and responsibilities; 					V
•Plants information literacy in the institution's mission, strategic plan, policies, and procedures;					v
 Provides funding to establish and ensure ongoing support for a) formal and informal teaching facilities and resources, b) appropriate staffing levels, c) professional development opportunities for librarians, faculty, staff, and administrators; and 					
•Recognizes and encourages collaboration among disciplinary faculty, librarians, and other program staff and among institutional units;				•	
 Communicates support for the program; 			✓		
 Rewards achievement and participation in the information literacy program within the institution's system. 	v				

Category 5: Articulation with the Curriculum Articulation with the curriculum for an information literacy program:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Is formalized and widely disseminated; 				\checkmark	
 Emphasizes student-centered learning; 					~
 Uses local governance structures to ensure institution-wide integration into academic or vocational programs; 			v		
•Identifies the scope (i.e., depth and complexity) of competencies to be acquired on a disciplinary level as well as at the course level;					
•Sequences and integrates competencies throughout a student's academic career, progressing in sophistication;				v	
•Specifies programs and courses charged with implementation.				\checkmark	

Category 6: Collaboration Collaboration among disciplinary faculty, librarians, and other program staff in an information literacy program:

New or

New or

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Centers around enhanced student learning and the development of lifelong learning skills; 					V
•Engenders communication within the academic community to garner support for the program;					V
 Results in a fusion of information literacy concepts and disciplinary content; 				V	
 Identifies opportunities for achieving information literacy outcomes through course content and other learning experiences; and 					v
•Takes place at the planning stages, delivery, assessment of student learning, and evaluation and refinement of the program.				v	

Category 7: Pedagogy Pedagogy for an information literacy program:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Supports diverse approaches to teaching; 					~
 Incorporates appropriate information technology and other media resources; 					
 Includes active and collaborative activities; 					~
 Encompasses critical thinking and reflection; 				~	
 Responds to multiple learning styles; 				~	
 Supports student-centered learning; 					~
Builds on students' existing knowledge; and				✓	
•Links information literacy to ongoing coursework and real-life experiences appropriate to program and course level.					v

Category 8: Staffing Staff for an information literacy program:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Include librarians, disciplinary faculty, administrators, program coordinators, graphic designers, teaching/learning specialists, and others as needed; 					v
•Serve as role models, exemplifying and advocating information literacy and lifelong learning;					~
 Are adequate in number and skills to support the program's mission; 	v				
 Develop experience in instruction/teaching and assessment of student learning; 					V
 Develop experience in curriculum development and expertise to develop, coordinate, implement, maintain, and evaluate information literacy programs; 					
 Employ a collaborative approach to working with others; 					~
 Receive and actively engage in systematic and continual professional development and training; 				v	
 Receive regular evaluations about the quality of their contribution to the program. 			v		

Category 9: Outreach Outreach activities for an information literacy program:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Communicate a clear message defining and describing the program and its value to targeted audiences; 					v
 Provide targeted marketing and publicity to stakeholders, support groups and media channels; 					v
 Target a wide variety of groups; 					
$\bullet \mbox{Use}$ a variety of outreach channels and media, both formal and informal;					v
•Include participation in campus professional development training by offering or co-sponsoring workshops and programs that relate to information literacy for faculty and staff;					v
•Advance information literacy by sharing information, methods and plans with peers from other institutions; and				v	
•Are the responsibility of all members of the institution, not simply the librarians.					

Category 10A: Assessment/Evaluation *for Program Evaluation* Assessment/evaluation of information literacy includes program performance and student outcomes and:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
•Establishes the process of ongoing planning/improvement of the program;			v		
 Measures directly progress toward meeting the goals and objectives of the program; 			v		
•Integrates with course and curriculum assessment as well as institutional evaluations and regional/professional accreditation initiatives; and					
 Assumes multiple methods and purposes for assessment/evaluation a) formative and summative, b) short term and longitudinal; 		v			

Category 10B: Assessment/Evaluation *for Student Outcomes* Assessment/evaluation of information literacy includes program performance and student outcomes and:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Acknowledges differences in learning and teaching styles by using a variety of appropriate outcome measures, such as portfolio assessment, oral defense, quizzes, essays, direct observation, anecdotal, peer and self review, and experience; 					
 Focuses on student performance, knowledge acquisition, and attitude appraisal; 			\checkmark		
 Assesses both process and product; 		✓			
Includes student-, peer-, and self-evaluation;			v		

Category 10C: Assessment/Evaluation <u>for all</u> Assessment/evaluation of information literacy includes program performance and student outcomes and:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	- 5- 5	Program Strength
 Includes periodic review of assessment/evaluation methods. 				v	

New or

Optional Questions

What activities at your library (or college) have really advanced your information literacy program so far?

College adopted Information Literacy as college-wide outcome. Campus Outcomes Assessment dept. is strong and supportive. More faculty have IL outcomes embedded in their course docs.

What challenges or barriers do you still feel you face in implementing the Information Literacy Program you want to have?

Building the Program plan into our daily activities so that it becomes/continues to be a living, working, guiding document.

Do you feel the grant (and its activities) have been helpful or supportive in accomplishing your goals? What else could be done?

Immersion was useful, grant funds for follow-up activities kept us working on the plan. Would have liked an Immersion faculty to review our document.

Thank you for completing your self-assessment!