Characteristics of Programs of Information Literacy that Illustrate Best Practice

Information Literacy in the Washington Community and Technical Colleges - LSTA Grant

Based on the Institute for Information Literacy's Best Practices Initiative
Please refer to the following link for more information about these criteria.
http://www.ala.org/ala/acrl/acrlstandards/characteristics.htm

This is being used as a self-evaluation of our progress throughout this grant process. This does not assume all colleges are doing all of these things at the "Program Strength" level or that all of these activities are equally important to us.

Please complete by July 15, 2006

If you have any questions or problems, please contact Lynn Olson at 253-964-6366 or lolson@pierce.ctc.edu

College Name

Seattle Central Community College

Name of Librarian Entering Data

Karen Michaelsen

Please answer each question with two check marks. One checkmark indicates where your college's Information Literacy Program was <u>two years ago</u>, at the start of this grant process. The second checkmark indicates where you are <u>at this time</u>. If only one box is checked, that means there has not been movement (progress) on that criterion.

Category 1: Mission A mission statement for an information literacy program:

•Are evaluated and reviewed periodically.

				M	
	No	Under Discussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
◆Includes a definition of information literacy		~	✓		
◆Is consistent with ACRL's "Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education"		~	~		
*Corresponds with the mission statement of the institution					~
ulletClearly reflects the contributions of and expected benefits to all institutional constituencies					~
•Appears in appropriate institutional documents		~	✓		
•Assumes the availability of and participation in relevant lifelong learning options for all - faculty, staff, and administration					~
*Is reviewed periodically and, if necessary, revised			✓		
Goals and objectives for an information lite	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strenath
•Are consistent with the mission, goals, and objectives of programs, departments, and the institution	No	scussion	Planning	Activity	Strength ▽
*Establish measurable outcomes for evaluation for the program				~	~
•Reflect sound pedagogical practice				~	V
				~	•
 Accommodate input from various constituencies Articulate the integration of information literacy across the 					_
curriculum				~	~
•Accommodate student growth in skills and understanding throughout the college years				~	~
*Apply to all learners, regardless of delivery system or location;				~	~
•Reflect the desired outcomes of preparing students for their academic pursuits and for effective lifelong learning; and				✓	~

Category 3: Planning Planning for an information literacy program:

 Articulates its mission, goals, objectives, and pedagogical 	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity ✓	Program Strength
foundation;		V		<u> </u>	
Anticipates and addresses current and future opportunities and challenges;		~		V	
◆Is tied to library and institutional information technology planning and budgeting cycles		V		V	
◆Incorporates findings from environmental scans;			✓		
•Accommodates program, department, and institutional levels;					~
 Involves students, faculty, librarians, administrators, and other constituencies as appropriate to the institution 					~
Establishes formal and informal mechanisms for communication and ongoing dialogue across the academic community;					~
◆Establishes the means for implementation and adaptation;				~	
 Addresses, with clear priorities, human, technological and financial resources, current and projected, including administrative and institutional support; 			~		
◆Includes mechanisms for articulation with the curriculum;				✓	
Includes a program for professional, faculty, and staff development; and			V		
◆Establishes a process for assessment at the outset, including periodic review of the plan to ensure flexibility.			~		
Category 4: Administrative and Institutiona	l Suppo	rt			
Administration within an institution:	• • •				
	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Identifies or assigns information literacy leadership and responsibilities; 				~	
Plants information literacy in the institution's mission, strategic plan, policies, and procedures;		~			
 Provides funding to establish and ensure ongoing support for a) formal and informal teaching facilities and resources, b) appropriate staffing levels, c) professional development opportunities for librarians, faculty, staff, and administrators; and 				V	
Recognizes and encourages collaboration among disciplinary faculty, librarians, and other program staff and among institutional units;			V	V	
Communicates support for the program;		~			
•Rewards achievement and participation in the information literacy program within the institution's system.		~			

Category 5: Articulation with the Curriculum Articulation with the curriculum for an information literacy program:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
◆Is formalized and widely disseminated;			<u> </u>		
◆Emphasizes student-centered learning;					~
 Uses local governance structures to ensure institution-wide integration into academic or vocational programs; 			~		
•Identifies the scope (i.e., depth and complexity) of competencies to be acquired on a disciplinary level as well as at the course level;			~	~	
 Sequences and integrates competencies throughout a student's academic career, progressing in sophistication; 		~	~		
•Specifies programs and courses charged with implementation.		~	~		
Category 6: Collaboration Collaboration among disciplinary faculty, lil an information literacy program:	orarians	, and o	ther pro	ogram st	taff in
	No	scussion	Planning	Activity	Strength
◆Centers around enhanced student learning and the development of lifelong learning skills;					~
•Engenders communication within the academic community to garner support for the program;			~	▽	
•Results in a fusion of information literacy concepts and disciplinary content;			~	▽	
◆Identifies opportunities for achieving information literacy outcomes through course content and other learning experiences; and			V	~	
Takes place at the planning stages, delivery, assessment of student learning, and evaluation and refinement of the program.	. 🗆	~	V		
Category 7: Pedagogy Pedagogy for an information literacy progra	am:			New or	
	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
◆Supports diverse approaches to teaching;				~	~
•Incorporates appropriate information technology and other media resources;				~	V
◆Includes active and collaborative activities;				✓	✓
•Encompasses critical thinking and reflection;				~	~
•Responds to multiple learning styles;				~	~
•Supports student-centered learning;				~	~
◆Builds on students' existing knowledge; and				~	~
Links information literacy to ongoing coursework and real-life experiences appropriate to program and course level.		~	~		

Category 8: Staffing Staff for an information literacy program:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
◆Include librarians, disciplinary faculty, administrators, program coordinators, graphic designers, teaching/learning specialists, and others as needed;	~	V			
$\bullet Serve$ as role models, exemplifying and advocating information literacy and lifelong learning;				V	
◆Are adequate in number and skills to support the program's mission;		~	~		
◆Develop experience in instruction/teaching and assessment of student learning;			~	V	
◆Develop experience in curriculum development and expertise to develop, coordinate, implement, maintain, and evaluate information literacy programs;			V	~	
◆Employ a collaborative approach to working with others;				~	
◆Receive and actively engage in systematic and continual professional development and training;				V	
•Receive regular evaluations about the quality of their contribution to the program.				V	
Category 9: Outreach Outreach activities for an information litera	cy prog	ram:			
	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
◆Communicate a clear message defining and describing the program and its value to targeted audiences;		▽	▽		
 Provide targeted marketing and publicity to stakeholders, support groups and media channels; 		V	V		
◆Target a wide variety of groups;		✓	~		
$\bullet \mbox{Use}$ a variety of outreach channels and media, both formal and informal;		~	~		
•Include participation in campus professional development training by offering or co-sponsoring workshops and programs that relate to information literacy for faculty and staff;				~	~
•Advance information literacy by sharing information, methods and plans with peers from other institutions; and				V	
•Are the responsibility of all members of the institution, not simply the librarians.		~	~		

Category 10A: Assessment/Evaluation <u>for Program Evaluation</u> Assessment/evaluation of information literacy includes program performance and student outcomes and:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength		
◆Establishes the process of ongoing planning/improvement of the program;				~			
 Measures directly progress toward meeting the goals and objectives of the program; 		~	~				
\bullet Integrates with course and curriculum assessment as well as institutional evaluations and regional/professional accreditation initiatives; and		V	~				
•Assumes multiple methods and purposes for assessment/evaluation a) formative and summative, b) short term and longitudinal;		V					
Category 10B: Assessment/Evaluation <u>for Student Outcomes</u> Assessment/evaluation of information literacy includes program performance and student outcomes and:							
	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength		
*Acknowledges differences in learning and teaching styles by using a variety of appropriate outcome measures, such as portfolio assessment, oral defense, quizzes, essays, direct observation, anecdotal, peer and self review, and experience;				V			
◆Focuses on student performance, knowledge acquisition, and attitude appraisal;				~			
Assesses both process and product;				~			
◆Includes student-, peer-, and self-evaluation;				V			
Category 10C: Assessment/Evaluation <u>for all</u> Assessment/evaluation of information literacy includes program performance and student outcomes and:							
	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength		
◆Includes periodic review of assessment/evaluation methods.				~			

Optional Questions

What activities at your library (or college) have really advanced your information literacy program so far?

All year the Seattle Community College librarians from all 3 campuses have been meeting regularly to plan a Summer IL Immersion program for faculty. At Seattle Central we used our \$1400 grant money to host workshops for faculty which test the plans for the summer program and we were able to provide \$100 grants to 13 faculty. The planning for these programs has led to an important shif to focus to faculty development and a new approach to faculty, engaging them as partners in IL instruction.

What challenges or barriers do you still feel you face in implementing the Information Literacy Program you want to have?

1. articulating the IL Plan as a working document. By the definition implied by the "guidelines" used for this survey, we do not have a formal 'plan' but we have many documents (from planning retreats, etc.) that collectively define this plan. We hope to engage our Information Literacy Committe (including other faculty) in formalizing our plan as a separate document. 2. We need to develop better strategies for engaging other constituencies (outside the library) to take ownership for IL, we stil

Do you feel the grant (and its activities) have been helpful or supportive in accomplishing your goals? What else could be done?

We believe the grant activities leading to our IL Immersion Program for faculty has created momentum outside the library and represents an important breakthrough for us. It has raised IL awareness at the instittuion level. Still we need to find ways to develop IL leadership outside the library.

Thank you for completing your self-assessment!