Characteristics of Programs of Information Literacy that Illustrate Best Practice

Information Literacy in the Washington Community and Technical Colleges -LSTA Grant

Based on the Institute for Information Literacy's Best Practices Initiative Please refer to the following link for more information about these criteria. http://www.ala.org/ala/acrl/acrlstandards/characteristics.htm

This is being used as a self-evaluation of our progress throughout this grant process. This does not assume all colleges are doing all of these things at the "Program Strength" level or that all of these activities are equally important to us.

Please complete by July 15, 2006

If you have any questions or problems, please contact Lynn Olson at 253-964-6366 or lolson@pierce.ctc.edu

College Name

South Seattle Community College

Name of Librarian Entering Data

Esther Sunde

Please answer each question with two check marks. One checkmark indicates where your college's Information Literacy Program was <u>two years ago</u>, at the start of this grant process. The second checkmark indicates where you are <u>at this time</u>. If only one box is checked, that means there has not been movement (progress) on that criterion.

Category 1: Mission A mission statement for an information literacy program:

	No	_	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Includes a definition of information literacy 	\checkmark	\checkmark			
 Is consistent with ACRL's "Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education" 	~	V			
 Corresponds with the mission statement of the institution 					
•Clearly reflects the contributions of and expected benefits to all institutional constituencies	~	v			
 Appears in appropriate institutional documents 					
 Assumes the availability of and participation in relevant lifelong learning options for all - faculty, staff, and administration 	~	v			
 Is reviewed periodically and, if necessary, revised 	\checkmark	\checkmark			

Category 2: Goals and Objectives Goals and objectives for an information literacy program:

No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
	v			
\checkmark	\checkmark			
\checkmark	\checkmark			
~	~			
~	~			
	~			
\checkmark	\checkmark			
	~			
\checkmark	v			
	 	No scussion V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	No scussion Planning Image: Constraint of the second seco	No Scussion Planning Activity Image: Scussion Planning Activity Image: Scussion Image: Scussion Planning Activity Image: Scussion Image: Scussion Image: Scussion Image: Scussion Image: Scussi

Category 3: Planning Planning for an information literacy program:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Articulates its mission, goals, objectives, and pedagogical foundation; 	v	v			
 Anticipates and addresses current and future opportunities and challenges; 	v	v			
 Is tied to library and institutional information technology planning and budgeting cycles 	v	v			
 Incorporates findings from environmental scans; 	~	✓			
 Accommodates program, department, and institutional levels; 	\checkmark	✓			
•Involves students, faculty, librarians, administrators, and other constituencies as appropriate to the institution	v	v			
 Establishes formal and informal mechanisms for communication and ongoing dialogue across the academic community; 		v			
 Establishes the means for implementation and adaptation; 	~	✓			
 Addresses, with clear priorities, human, technological and financial resources, current and projected, including administrative and institutional support; 	V	v			
 Includes mechanisms for articulation with the curriculum; 	~	✓			
 Includes a program for professional, faculty, and staff development; and 	v	v			
•Establishes a process for assessment at the outset, including periodic review of the plan to ensure flexibility.	v	V			

Category 4: Administrative and Institutional Support Administration within an institution:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Identifies or assigns information literacy leadership and responsibilities; 		\checkmark			
 Plants information literacy in the institution's mission, strategic plan, policies, and procedures; 	\checkmark	v			
Provides funding to establish and ensure ongoing support for a) formal and informal teaching facilities and resources, b) appropriate staffing levels, c) professional development opportunities for librarians, faculty, staff, and administrators; and					
 Recognizes and encourages collaboration among disciplinary faculty, librarians, and other program staff and among institutional units; 	V	Y			
 Communicates support for the program; 	✓	\checkmark			
Rewards achievement and participation in the information literacy program within the institution's system.	v	v			

Category 5: Articulation with the Curriculum Articulation with the curriculum for an information literacy program:

 Is formalized and widely disseminated; 	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
•13 formalized and widely disseminated,					_
 Emphasizes student-centered learning; 	\checkmark	\checkmark			
•Uses local governance structures to ensure institution-wide integration into academic or vocational programs;	v	\checkmark			
•Identifies the scope (i.e., depth and complexity) of competencies to be acquired on a disciplinary level as well as at the course level;	V	V			
•Sequences and integrates competencies throughout a student's academic career, progressing in sophistication;	v	\checkmark			
•Specifies programs and courses charged with implementation.	\checkmark	~			

Category 6: Collaboration Collaboration among disciplinary faculty, librarians, and other program staff in an information literacy program:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Centers around enhanced student learning and the development of lifelong learning skills; 					
•Engenders communication within the academic community to garner support for the program;					
 Results in a fusion of information literacy concepts and disciplinary content; 					
 Identifies opportunities for achieving information literacy outcomes through course content and other learning experiences; and 		v	v		
•Takes place at the planning stages, delivery, assessment of student learning, and evaluation and refinement of the program.		~	v		

Category 7: Pedagogy Pedagogy for an information literacy program:

 Supports diverse approaches to teaching; Incorporates appropriate information technology and other 	No	Under Di scussion V	In Planning V	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
media resources;					
 Includes active and collaborative activities; 		\checkmark	✓		
 Encompasses critical thinking and reflection; 		✓	~		
 Responds to multiple learning styles; 		~	\checkmark		
 Supports student-centered learning; 		~	~		
Builds on students' existing knowledge; and		✓	✓		
•Links information literacy to ongoing coursework and real-life experiences appropriate to program and course level.		v	•		

Category 8: Staffing Staff for an information literacy program:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Include librarians, disciplinary faculty, administrators, program coordinators, graphic designers, teaching/learning specialists, and others as needed; 	v	V			
•Serve as role models, exemplifying and advocating information literacy and lifelong learning;	v	v			
 Are adequate in number and skills to support the program's mission; 	v	✓			
 Develop experience in instruction/teaching and assessment of student learning; 		✓	•		
 Develop experience in curriculum development and expertise to develop, coordinate, implement, maintain, and evaluate information literacy programs; 					
 Employ a collaborative approach to working with others; 				\checkmark	
 Receive and actively engage in systematic and continual professional development and training; 				v	
 Receive regular evaluations about the quality of their contribution to the program. 				v	

Category 9: Outreach Outreach activities for an information literacy program:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Communicate a clear message defining and describing the program and its value to targeted audiences; 		V	V		
 Provide targeted marketing and publicity to stakeholders, support groups and media channels; 				v	
 Target a wide variety of groups; 		\checkmark	\checkmark		
$\bullet \textsc{Use}$ a variety of outreach channels and media, both formal and informal;				•	
 Include participation in campus professional development training by offering or co-sponsoring workshops and programs that relate to information literacy for faculty and staff; 				Y	
•Advance information literacy by sharing information, methods and plans with peers from other institutions; and				v	
•Are the responsibility of all members of the institution, not simply the librarians.					

Category 10A: Assessment/Evaluation *for Program Evaluation* Assessment/evaluation of information literacy includes program performance and student outcomes and:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Establishes the process of ongoing planning/improvement of the program; 	~	~			
 Measures directly progress toward meeting the goals and objectives of the program; 	v	✓			
 Integrates with course and curriculum assessment as well as institutional evaluations and regional/professional accreditation initiatives; and 	Y				
 Assumes multiple methods and purposes for assessment/evaluation a) formative and summative, b) short term and longitudinal; 	•				

Category 10B: Assessment/Evaluation *for Student Outcomes* Assessment/evaluation of information literacy includes program performance and student outcomes and:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	New or Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Acknowledges differences in learning and teaching styles by using a variety of appropriate outcome measures, such as portfolio assessment, oral defense, quizzes, essays, direct observation, anecdotal, peer and self review, and experience; 					
 Focuses on student performance, knowledge acquisition, and attitude appraisal; 				v	
 Assesses both process and product; 				\checkmark	
Includes student-, peer-, and self-evaluation;				~	

Category 10C: Assessment/Evaluation <u>for all</u> Assessment/evaluation of information literacy includes program performance and student outcomes and:

	No	Under Di scussion	In Planning	Ongoing Activity	Program Strength
 Includes periodic review of assessment/evaluation methods. 	~				

New or

Optional Questions

What activities at your library (or college) have really advanced your information literacy program so far?

We have created a district-wide Information Literacy Committee, and I have been working closely with the librarians at the other campuses to create a 3-day Information Literacy Immersion program for our faculty. This has really increased visibility of the library and information literacy on our campus. We have plans to continue working together to implement information literacy across the district. It has been empowering to work with the other librarians - it gives us more power when we can spea

What challenges or barriers do you still feel you face in implementing the Information Literacy Program you want to have?

The challenges are always lack of administrative support from the higher levels and lack of funding, lack of staff.

Do you feel the grant (and its activities) have been helpful or supportive in accomplishing your goals? What else could be done?

Definitely! The grant has been great in terms of getting us moving and making it possible for us to raise awareness on our campus. Now we need to continue moving forward with the momentum we have gained, and continue working with the district librarians to try and get more support for information literacy. I think it would help to have requirements for information literacy in place, and maybe discussion of information literacy in the circles in which the higher up administrators move at a sta

Thank you for completing your self-assessment!